

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/656,717	Applicant(s) HOLLOWAY ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754	

All Participants:(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.(2) Mr. Neil Jetter.**Status of Application:** pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006**Time:** 8:50 am**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

13


Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner requested authorization to amend line 3 in claim 13 by replacing "salt" with --salts-- via an examiner's amendment. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was granted by the attorney.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)